

Subjecting Scientific Systems to Text-Reading Mechanisms

Yahya Mohamed

The scientific systems have many similarities with the systems of the Islamic religious heritage, and this is what made us present a comparison between them so that we concluded that the physical interpretations are similar to the different interpretations of the text. Every explanation is an interpretation, and every interpretation corresponds to another, and anything can be explained by different interpretations. It is then possible to subject the physical systems to the mechanisms of reading as they are practiced in religious understanding according to (Science of methodology علم الطريقة).

Just as in understanding, there are three mechanisms for reading, which are exoteric, interpretation, and esoteric, so this case can be applied in science, where there is an equivalent to each of these mechanisms, and both are subject to the law of the inverse relationship, which refers to the inevitable reverse influence of the priori concepts and the objective thing on perception (questioning), as in science and religious understanding.

The stronger the influence, the priori, The weaker the effect of the perceived or questioned thing, and vice versa.

The greater the influence of the priori concepts, the weaker the influence of the perceived or inferred thing, and vice versa.

Exoteric can be applied to the first procedural system in science as it is applied to textualism. Both are subject to the law of the weak inverse relationship, where the influence of the priori concepts is weak compared to the effect of the objective thing.

Interpretation can also be applied to the second hypothetical system in science as it is applied to rationalism. Both are subject to the law of the inverse-median relationship.

While esoteric is applied to the third system (metaphysical speculative) in science, as is the case with the mystical-esoteric method of its mythological beliefs, both come close to postmodern literary reading theories; By making the text open and able to take the maximum reading without limits and controls, as both are subject to the law of the strong inverse relationship, as we presented in (Science). علم الطريقة of methodology

Also, just as the text consists of three elements, which are the pronunciation, the context, and the Field, and any reading must appeal to the arrangement of the relationship between these elements, so the universe consists of three elements, which are the thing, the relationship, and the domain, and any explanation must be under the order The links between these elements.

There are things in nature that can be referred to somehow, Like trees, stone, electrons, and proton. These things have complex relationships. It also has its Fields so that they do not mix with each other, and this includes the non-mixing of the quantum laws of the particle world with the laws of the great physical world, although the latter is based on the first. Just as the living organism consists of the units of life called cells, each of them has its laws that do not mix with the other despite the overlap between them.

So there is an asymmetry in the elements between the text and the universe or nature, for the latter things correspond to the words of the text, and their relations correspond to its contexts, just as their domains correspond to its Fields.

In the case of the text, we knew that the exoteric mechanism depends on the verbal appearance and the Field. And the esoteric mechanism works on the opposite, so it does not retain the Field and therefore does

not take into account the verbal appearance. The interpretive mechanism takes the middle path, as it retains the Field but does not rely on verbal appearance.

These three mechanisms of reading apply to the three scientific systems. The first system practices the pattern of exoteric and maintains the actual appearance of the relationships of things within its domain, and the second practices the pattern of interpretation as it does not preserve the appearance of relationships, even if it does not go beyond the Field related to them, while the third practices the pattern of esoteric because it exceeds the Field of relationships by its inferences from anything to everything.

These are the analogies between the systems of science and religious understanding. The empirical procedural system of science corresponds to the textualism of religious understanding, both of which practice the pattern of exoteric reading. The hypothetical deductive system corresponds to the rational method, with its ontological and normative parts, as both practice the pattern of interpretive reading. The metaphysical speculative system corresponds to the esoteric mystical method, where both practice the pattern of introspective reading for the slightest occasion. If the text determines the nature of the Field it contains through the reading, then it is the universe that determines the nature of the domain it contains through observation, experiment, and testing.

The reference

<https://www.fahmaldin.net/index.php?id=2575>